SAPC 7029 copy 201 6

18 June 1956

25X1A9a

MEMORANDUM FOR: Requirements Officer

SUBJECT:

Intelligence Obtained in Satisfaction of General Tunner's Requirements

25X9A5 I noted your memorandum of 142June on your conversation with SAPC 7026). Your conversation was supplemented by another held at 1800 on 15 June between and myself on the one hand and on the other. As a result of these two conversations the position with respect to our missions over the Satellites is set forth in the following paragraphs.

- 2. All concerned are in agreement that it is operationally unwise to employ the AQUATONE specialized reconnaissance system for targets of as low priority as those in the Satellites, especially since these targets can be pretty safely covered by the use of other less advanced equipment. On the other hand, it is also recognized by all concerned that we have in effect been instructed by higher authority that at least a few missions limited to the Satellites must be flown in order finally to prove out our weapons system before we will be permitted to employ it for deep penetration missions of the USSR, the purpose for which it was designed. Accordingly, there is no disagreement as to the course of action to be followed.
- 3. The commitment it is proposed to make to simply that during our initial missions over the Satellites and, where appropriate, on the outward and homeward legs of longer range missions, we will obtain as complete a coverage of his targets as possible. For the initial phase when missions are restricted to the Satellites, the decision has already been made, for the reasons indicated in the preceding paragraph, to incur the risks involved even though the targets are of limited importance. In the later phase when deep penetrations are in progress, it is understood that coverage of the Satellites will be strictly a by-product of operations justified by much higher priority requirements.
- 4. I note with pleasure that will assure that AQUATONE photography reaches the through the TALENT channels.

-2-

25X9A5

on this point I trust that we will be able to reassure should it ever arise as between a representative of the Project organization and one of headquarters. 25X3A1 6. I apposition will be in a position what we are doing so that he will not duplicate .25X1A2d2 to advise our missions. In my opinion, however, we should be clear that the 2539A5 for such coordination of operations does not run through As you are aware, we have had extensive discussions, prompted by with the Control Center in the Pentagon under Colonel This Control Center is responsible for monitoring all reconnaissance activity on behalf of the Air Staff and it is the logical and proper point for the coordination of Project with USAF operations. I would not suggest making an issue of this point wit if the matter ever arises you might explain to him such an arrangement is in effect,

SIGNED

RICHARD M. BISSELL, Jr. Project Director

RMB:pas

1-JOR

2-Dep. Proj. Dir.

3-Dir. of Ops.

4-RMB Chrono

5-Proj. Reading

6-Proj. Chrono